

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-26-0212-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v ESTEVAN E. ANGULO

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 17-Jul-2026

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Respondent

Brad Miller, Esq. (AZ Bar No. bradmiller)

Kaitlin S Hollywood, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 30637)

Side 2. ESTEVAN E. ANGULO, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) ESTEVAN E. ANGULO

Estevan E Angulo

Attorneys for: Petitioner

Elizabeth M Hale, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 32703)

CASE STATUS	
Jul 17, 2026.....Awaiting Petition for Review	Jul 17, 2026.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CR 26-0008				
 PIN	S1100CR201401560		Danielle Harris, Trial Comments: (none)		

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES		
1.	17-Jul-2026	FILED: Motion For Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Angulo)
2.	20-Jul-2026	Petitioner Angulo filed a "Motion For Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on July 17, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by July 27, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)